1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT DABNEY, et al., 12 Plaintiff(s), C09-4116 BZ No. 13 v. ORDER GRANTING MOTION CITY OF HAYWARD, et al., 14 TO DISMISS 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 Before the Court is the motion of defendant City of 18 Hayward to dismiss this civil rights complaint on two grounds. 19 Plaintiffs concede their failure to file a state administrative claim and do not oppose dismissal of their 2.0 21 state law claims. 22 As to the adequacy of the federal claims in the 23 complaint, both sides' briefing is underwhelming. However, 24 the Court is concerned that the complaint may not meet the 25 pleading requirements of Ashcroft v. Iqbal, ____ U.S. ____, 129 S. Ct. 1937 (2009), particularly as to the moving 26

defendant. See Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436

27

28

U.S. 658, 692-95 (1978).

1	The Court finds no need for argument and VACATES the
2	hearing presently scheduled for February 17, 2010. IT IS
3	ORDERED that defendant's motion to dismiss is GRANTED. The
4	state law claims are dismissed and leave to amend the federal
5	claims is GRANTED . Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint
6	by February 22, 2010.
7	Dated: February 2, 2010
8	Bernard Zimmerman
9	Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge
10	G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\DABNEY V. CITY OF HAYWARD\ORDER GRANTING DEF'S MOT TO
11	DISMISS.wpd
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	